

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,400	07/05/2001	Bin Yu	F0541	5115
7:	590 09/18/2002			
Paul R. Steffes, Esq. Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP 19th Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue		EXAMINER		
			ROSE, KIESHA L	
Cleveland, OH 44115-2191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
		DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/900,400		YU ET AL.					
▶ Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<del></del>				
•	Kiesha L. F	Pose	2822	4.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l			dress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 August 2002</u> .								
,	is action is i							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
, = , , =	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.						
Application Papers	_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		abia stad ta bu the Crew						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	•		ır				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			on No.					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2			(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 09/900,400

Art Unit: 2822

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the election filed 13 August 2002.

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 9-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method of making a semiconductor device, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (U.S. Patent 6,159,778) in view of Eimori (U.S. Patent 5,245,208).

Kim discloses a SOI (Fig. 1) that contains a semiconductor substrate (20), an insulator layer (18) disposed on the substrate, a semiconductor active layer (10) disposed on insulator layer, the active layer includes a source (26a), a drain (26b) and a body

Application/Control Number: 09/900,400

Art Unit: 2822

disposed therebetween, the source and body forming an abrupt or hyperabrupt source/body junction, a gate (22) disposed on the body such that the gate, source and drain are operatively arranged to form a transistor and an implanted region (24a) forming an interface between the body and the drain resulting in a graded drain/body junction. In regards to the implanted region formed by tilted atom implantation with an angle of 0 to 20 degrees, a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao and Sato et al., 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (CCPA 1976) (footnote 3). See also In re Brown and Saffer, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972): In re Luck and Gainer, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC 1983) final product per se which must be determined in a "product by, all of" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear. Even though product – by [-] process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based upon the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product is made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)(citations omitted)." Kim discloses all of the limitations except for the implanted region to be germanium. Whereas Eimori discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 9) that contains an active region that contains a source and drain region (6) and a germanium implanted drain

Application/Control Number: 09/900,400

Art Unit: 2822

region (8b) disposed partially in the body region below a portion of the gate adjacent the drain and partially in the drain thereby extending laterally across at least a portion of the drain/body junction. Germanium is doped in the implanted region for inhibition of hot carriers. (Column 2, lines 21-25) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the SOI of Kim by incorporating a germanium implanted region for the inhibition of hot carriers as taught by Eimori. In regards to claims 4-6 of the germanium having a certain dopant concentration, dose and energy levels, it is noted that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentrations or any unexpected results arising there from. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the germanium with a certain dopant concentration, energy levels or atom dose, since it has been held that "In such an situation, the applicant must show that the particular range is critical, generally by showing that the claimed range achieves unexpected results relative to the prior art range." In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990) See MPEP § 2144.05.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Alvis et al. (U.S. Patent 4,928,156) discloses a transistor with a source and drain region having an implanted region with germanium atoms.

Art Unit: 2822

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 703-605-4212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 1st Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KLR

September 9, 2002

CARL WHITEHEAD JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINET:
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800